

The Cape & Islands CoC is Accepting New and Renewal Project Applications For:

**Permanent Housing-Permanent Supportive Housing (PH- PSH)
Permanent Housing - Rapid Rehousing (PH- RRH)
Joint Transitional Housing (TH and PH- RRH)
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
Supportive Services Only (SSO)
Supportive Services Only- Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)
Supportive Services Only (SSO)- Street Outreach
CoC Planning**

**With funding from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
Development (HUD)
2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition
Notice of Funding Opportunity
CPD-2600-DC-0025**

**Information Session for Renewal Projects: June 23, 2026, 1:00PM
Information Session for New Projects:
June 23, 2026, 2:30PM**

Information sessions will be held utilizing the Microsoft Teams application. RSVP to Daniel.Gray@capecod.gov indicating which information session(s) you would like to attend. A calendar invitation will be sent in advance of the meetings with a link to access the sessions.

**Project Applications Must be Submitted in E-SNAPS by
July 24, 2026, 4:30 PM**

MA 503 - Cape and Islands CoC Request for Applications

The Continuum of Care (CoC) Program (24 CFR part 578) is designed to promote a community -wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness. The FY2026 CoC competition is open to eligible renewal and new projects which are ranked competitively in accordance with HUD priorities and locally identified needs. The highest ranked projects are included in the CoC application submitted to HUD. Applicants are strongly encouraged to thoroughly review the NOFO on the HUD Exchange at:

<https://www.hud.gov/hud-partners/coc-program-competition>

The Cape Cod and Islands CoC will submit a consolidated application to HUD for FY2026 competition funds by August 26, 2026, 8 PM EDT.

The application may include the following types of project applications:

- 1. New projects created using funds available through reallocation and/or bonus funds:**
 - a. Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing projects (PH-PSH) Permanent Supportive Housing projects (PH-PSH) that serve people with disabilities who meet Categories 1, 2, or 4 of the homeless definition**
 - b. Permanent Housing- Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH) projects that serve individuals and families, including unaccompanied youth who meet the criteria outlined in the NOFO**
 - c. Transitional Housing (TH) projects**

- d. Dedicated HMIS
 - e. Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) to develop or operate a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
2. New projects for Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus may be PH-RRH, TH, or SSO-CE projects.
3. Expansion of renewal projects in which a renewal project submits a new application to expand its current operations.
4. Consolidated projects in which eligible renewal applicants consolidate two or more (but no more than four) eligible renewal projects.
5. Transition projects in which a renewal project transitions from one CoC program component to another. Requires approval from CoC.
6. Renewal projects.

COMPETITION TIMELINE & DEADLINES *Required by HUD

June 23, 2026 1:00PM

Information Session for New Projects. This session will be held through Microsoft Teams.

June 23, 2026, 2:30PM

Information Session for Renewal Projects. This session will be held through Microsoft Teams.

**June 29, 2026: New project applicants must submit Letter of Intent
(Attachment A) to Daniel.gray@capecod.gov**

July 6, 2026, 4:30 PM: New project applicants notified of eligibility to submit project in e-snaps.

July 24, 2026, 4:30 PM*: Submission of new and renewal project applications in e-snaps. Incomplete or late submissions will not be reviewed or ranked for inclusion in the FY2026 Consolidated Application.

July 27 – Aug. 7, 2026: Review and Ranking Committee reviews and ranks proposals.

By August 11, 2026*: CoC Collaborative Applicant will notify all project applicants in writing no later than 15 days before the application deadline of August 26, 2026, regarding whether their project application will be included in the CoC Priority Listing submission, the rank of their application in the Priority Listing, their scoring and the amount to be allocated for each project. Project selections, rankings and tier allocation will be provided in writing and published at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>. Applicants not selected may appeal and/or apply directly to HUD.

By August 21, 2026*: 8:00 PM EDT Consolidated Application posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>.

By August 26, 2026*: 8:00 PM EDT Submission of CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

FY2026 FUNDS AVAILABLE: The funding amounts presented below are preliminary estimates based on the Cape Cod & Islands Continuum of Care's FY2025 HUD CoC Program award levels and are intended solely for planning and application purposes. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released the FY2026 CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO); however, critical funding parameters, including the Annual Renewal

Demand (ARD), CoC Bonus, Domestic Violence Bonus, and Planning Grant allocations, have not yet been released. Accordingly, FY2026 funding levels remain subject to revision pending issuance of final HUD guidance and related funding determinations.

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): \$3,420,396
Tier 1 (60% of ARD): \$2,052,238
Tier 2 (40% of ARD): \$1,368,158
CoC Bonus (Estimated): \$656,307
Domestic Violence Bonus (Estimated): \$136,174
CoC Planning Grant (Estimated): \$164,077

Projects will be submitted to HUD ranked in either Tier 1 or Tier 2. Tier 1 projects are generally prioritized by HUD for funding, and this tier is where HUD will begin prioritizing permanent housing for families with children. Tier 2 is highly competitive, and this year HUD is heavily prioritizing new Transitional Housing (TH) and Supportive Services Only (SSO) projects for those funds. Because of the large set-aside for new TH and SSO programs, renewal projects that fall into Tier 2 are severely deprioritized and unlikely to be funded. Ultimately, final funding decisions and the order of disbursements are determined entirely by HUD, regardless of local scoring or rank. Available funding may be updated based upon guidance received from HUD following the release of this RFP. Funding revisions made following the release of this document will be posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>

REALLOCATION

Reallocation is the process of shifting funds in whole or part from renewal projects to create one or more new projects. The reallocation policy adopted by the Policy Board of the Regional Network is found in **Attachment B**.

SCORING, RANKING AND SELECTION

HUD expects CoCs to implement a thorough review and oversight process at the local level for new and renewal project applications submitted in the FY2026 CoC Program Competition. All complete, timely, and eligible new and renewal applications will be scored by the **CoC Review and Ranking Committee** using the FY2026 Renewal Project Scoring Methodology (**Attachments C and D**) and FY2026 New Project Scoring Methodology (**Attachment E**). Due to the competitiveness of the CoC Grant, submission of projects in e-snaps does not guarantee that a project will be accepted and ranked. Applicants who believe they were denied the opportunity

to participate in the local CoC process or who were rejected or reallocated may appeal directly to HUD by submitting a Solo Application.

Scoring and Ranking. For new projects the review and scoring process evaluates the applicant's capacity, cost effectiveness of the proposed project, quality of the application, and if the project addresses identified needs. Renewal projects are evaluated on past performance, cost effectiveness, and performance measures. Planning projects are not included in ranking. All CoC funded projects must align with HUD's FY2026 policy priorities. These policy priorities include:

- **Promoting Treatment and Recovery**
- **Promoting Public Safety**
- **Promoting Self-Sufficiency**
- **Improving System Performance**
- **Expanding Provider Pools**
- **Prohibiting Illegal Discrimination**

The review and ranking process also considers:

- Adherence to submission deadlines (failure to meet submission deadlines will result in the project not being accepted); and
- Timely and thorough responses to requests for clarification and/or additional information from the CoC Review and Ranking Committee

Finally, before the CoC Review and Ranking Committee completes the scoring and priority ranking process, they may consider additional criteria such as whether the initial scoring is likely to result in critical service gaps and/or potential displacement of vulnerable households. The Committee may also adjust project budgets to keep them within the Tier 1 and Tier 2 limits set by HUD.

The CoC Review and Ranking Committee will publish the final ranking of projects to be included in the CoC application per the timeline set forth by HUD. The Committee's rationale for prioritizing projects is posted on-line and available to the public. The Review and Ranking Committee Roles and Responsibilities can be found in **Attachment F**.

New and Renewal Scoring Methodology tools may be updated based upon guidance received from HUD following the release of this RFP. Scoring tools revised following the release of this document will be posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>.

PROJECT QUALITY THRESHOLD CRITERIA. All new project applications will undergo a threshold review by the CoC Review and Ranking Committee. To be considered for ranking and funding, an application must meet or exceed the minimum point threshold designated for its specific project component type. Applications that do not meet the minimum threshold score will not be accepted or reviewed further.

Reviewers will evaluate project designs against the following criteria based on the application materials and narrative:

1. New Transitional Housing (TH)

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 6 out of 8 points.
- Criteria:
 - Demonstrate the project will provide supportive services *(2 points)*
 - Past success in exits within 24 months, or a detailed plan to do so *(1 point)*
 - Past operation of project with 50% exits to positive destinations and 50% exits with employment income, or a detailed plan to do so *(1 point)*
 - Project will be supplemented with other public/private resources (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, employment programs, social services) *(1 point)*
 - Project assesses service needs of participants and provides at least 20 hours a week of engagement in services (Exceptions for 62+ or people with disabilities; employment can count) *(2 points)*
 - Service plans will be created for each participant outlining services to be provided and goals/targets *(1 point)*

2. New SSO Standalone

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 4 out of 5 points.
- Criteria:
 - Demonstrated reasonable average cost per household *(1 point)*
 - Project is necessary, addresses barriers to stable housing, and increases self-sufficiency *(1 point)*
 - Annual service needs assessments conducted for participants *(1 point)*
 - Project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants, including strategies for engaging those who are unsheltered and do not traditionally engage with services *(2 points)*

3. New SSO Street Outreach

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 5 out of 6 points.
- Criteria:

- Project will be supplemented with other public/private resources (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, employment programs, social services) *(1 point)*
- Demonstrated reasonable average cost per household *(1 point)*
- Applicant has a history (or a plan for) partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing, or independent living *(1 point)*
- Applicant will cooperate and not interfere with the enforcement of local laws (e.g., public camping, public drug use) and is willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals *(1 point)*
- Applicant has experience (or a plan for) providing outreach services *(1 point)*
- Applicant has a plan for (or has demonstrated effectiveness at) helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, and permanent housing programs *(1 point)*

4. New SSO-Coordinated Entry (CE)

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 3 out of 4 points.
- Criteria:
 - CE system is easily available and reachable for all people within the CoC's geographical area who are seeking homelessness assistance, and is accessible for persons with disabilities *(1 point)*
 - Applicant has a strategy for advertising CE that is designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs *(1 point)*
 - Applicant has a standardized assessment process *(1 point)*
 - Project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs *(1 point)*

5. New Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 3 out of 5 points.
- Criteria:
 - Type of housing proposed, including number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants *(1 point)*
 - The type of supportive services and assistance offered will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., transportation, safety planning, enhanced case management) *(1 point)*

- If the project is an expansion of an existing PSH, demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services *(1 point)*
- The project will serve homeless individuals or families with a disability *(1 point)*
- Demonstrated reasonable average cost per household *(1 point)*

6. New Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 4 out of 6 points.
- Criteria:
 - Project will be supplemented with other public/private resources (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, employment programs, social services) *(1 point)*
 - The type of supportive services and assistance offered will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness *(1 point)*
 - Applicant previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project with 50% exits to permanent housing, or has a plan to do so *(1 point)*
 - Applicant previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project with 50% exits with employment income, or has a plan to do so *(1 point)*
 - Applicant shows that the assistance plan will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months *(1 point)*

7. New HMIS

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 3 out of 4 points.
- Criteria:
 - Funds will further CoC HMIS implementation *(1 point)*
 - HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements *(1 point)*
 - HMIS can un-duplicate client records *(1 point)*
 - HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG) and other reports required by other federal partners *(1 point)*

8. CoC Planning / YHDP Replacement / UFA Costs

- Minimum Threshold: Must score at least 3 out of 5 points (for Planning).
- CoC Planning Criteria:
 - The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members *(1 point)*
 - The CoC has a written governance charter in place that includes CoC policies *(1 point)*

- The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups to address the needs of persons experiencing homelessness in the CoC's geographic area that recommends and sets policy priorities for the CoC *(1 point)*
- The proposed planning project carried out by the CoC with Planning grant funds is compliant with the provisions of 24 CFR 578.7 *(2 points)*
- The funds requested will improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcome of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects *(1 point)*
- YHDP Replacement / New Via Reallocation / UFA Costs Criteria:
 - Meets project quality thresholds if the project application and costs are eligible under this NOFO. Application must demonstrate appropriate match and eligible costs. *(Pass/Fail)*

General questions regarding the RFP process should be directed to Dan Gray in writing at daniel.gray@capecod.gov.

Requests for clarification of the NOFA, program specific questions, or requests for assistance completing the application should be directed to HUD. A full list of HUD resources is available beginning on page 115 of the NOFO.

Disclaimer: Guidance and information contained in CPD-2600-DC-0025 supersedes this notice. All applicants are responsible for reading the HUD NOFO prior to applying for funding and for reviewing HUD Notices regarding the NOFO on the HUD Exchange at <https://www.hud.gov/hud-partners/coc-program-competition>.

MA-503 Cape Cod and Islands CoC reserves the right to update this RFP if HUD publishes any changes to the Notice of Funding Opportunity.

ATTACHMENT A - NEW PROJECT LETTER OF INTENT

MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2026 NEW PROJECT - LETTER OF INTENT			
Name of Applicant (Agency)		Date:	
Agency Address			
		Street	City/Town State Zip
Name of Contact Person			
		Name	Email address Phone number
VERIFY THAT THE CEO/ED OF YOUR AGENCY HAS AUTHORIZED THIS SUBMISSION BY CHECKING THE BOX: ☐ PLEASE CHECK BOX TO INDICATE AUTHORIZATION			
Proposed Project Name		Amount Requested:	
(1) Briefly describe your agency's experience in working with the homeless. DV projects describe your agency's experience in providing services to victims of domestic violence. Non-housing projects describe your agency's experience in homeless information systems and/or coordinated entry systems (1,500 character limit).			
(2) Population to be served by this project (select only one - non-housing projects select N/A)			
Individuals Number of individuals to be served: Will each person have his/her own unit? yes ☐ no ☐ If NO, how many units will there be? How many persons will be in each unit?		Families Number of families to be served: Total number of units: Total number of beds: N/A:	
(3) Project type (select only one)			
☐ New Project - PH/PSH ☐ New Project - PH/RRH ☐ New Project - TH		☐ DV Bonus - PH/RRH ☐ DV Bonus - TH ☐ DV Bonus - SSO/CE	
		☐ Expansion ☐ Dedicated HMIS ☐ SSO/CE	
(4) Category of funding requested for project (may combine Bonus and Reallocated Funds - may not combine DV Bonus with other funds)			
☐ CoC Bonus Funds ☐ Reallocated Funds ☐ DV Bonus Funds			
(5) Proposed Project Budget*			
ELIGIBLE COSTS			
1a. Leased Units			
1b. Leased Structures			
2. Rental Assistance			
3. Supportive Services			
4. Operating			
5. HMIS			
6. Subtotal Costs Requested		\$0	
7. Admin (up to 10%)			
8. Total Assistance plus Admin Requested		\$0	
9. Cash Match <i>NOTE: Grantees must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of cash or in-kind contributions from other sources.</i>			
10. In-Kind Match			
11. Total Match		\$0	
12. TOTAL BUDGET		\$0	
(6) Proposed Project Location (must be located within the CoC's region - Barnstable, Dukes, or Nantucket County - select as many as apply)			
☐ Barnstable County (indicate region)			
☐ Upper Cape ☐ Mid Cape ☐ Lower Cape			
☐ Dukes County ☐ Nantucket County ☐ Entire CoC's Geographic Region			
(8) Please confirm below that you understand all CoC-funded projects must participate in the following as required by HUD (non-housing projects select N/A)			
☐ Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV Projects, participation in a confidential database comparable to HMIS ☐ Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV Projects, participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality ☐ N/A			

NOTE: Check boxes as appropriate. Enter responses into cells highlighted in grey, which can be navigated by using the tab key. Totals for lines 6, 8, 11, and 12 of the Proposed Project Budget will be automatically calculated by embedded formulas and will auto-populate the appropriate cells.

* Budget calculations should be based on FY2026 HUD Fair Market Rents (FMRs) - See Tab 2

UPDATE 6-15-2026

MA-503 CoC

ATTACHMENT B – CoC MA 503 REALLOCATION POLICY

Reallocation Policy – March 26, 2018

BACKGROUND

To ensure the strategic allocation of resources and continued progress toward the goal of ending homelessness, in 24 CFR § 578.105(b)(2) and § 578.107(b)(1)(iv), the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) authorizes Continuums of Care (CoCs) to reallocate funds from underperforming, underutilized, redundant, non-cost effective, or obsolete programs to create new projects which:

- meet the eligibility and quality thresholds established by HUD under 24 CFR § 578. 39 through § 578.63
- meet the requirements as set forth in the annual Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
- serve new participants, focusing on the most vulnerable chronically homeless
- increase local housing stock, and
- ensure that all resources are being utilized toward achieving the goal of ending homelessness

Under 24 CFR § 578.7(a)(6), CoCs are mandated to consult with grantees and sub-grantees to establish performance targets appropriate for their specific populations and program types, monitor grantee and sub-grantee performance, evaluate outcomes, and take corrective action where performance does not meet expectation.

POLICY STATEMENT

Reallocation is intended to optimize CoC system performance to achieve the following objectives:

- (1) Meet housing needs for persons as identified in the Coordinated Entry System (CES)
- (2) Provide high-quality, effective programming
- (3) Align funded programming with HEARTH Act priorities as defined in § 427 and HUD priorities as defined in 24 § CFR 576.2

TYPES OF REALLOCATION

Reallocation may be self-initiated by a funded agency (voluntary) or initiated by the Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board (Continuum-initiated). Decisions related to Continuum-initiated reallocations will be made in accordance with 24 CFR 578.107(a) and (c), which hold each CoC responsible for the performance, fiduciary accountability, and strategic value of each CoC program project included in its annual Collaborative Application.

Project funding may be reallocated in the following ways:

- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into a new project by the same provider
- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into a new project by a different provider
- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into more than one new project
- Funding (in whole or part) from multiple projects into one new project
- Funding (in whole or part) from multiple projects into more than one new project

Self-Initiated (Voluntary) Reallocation - A grantee may voluntarily request reallocation of project funding if:

- (1) The grantee wishes to move funds to a new eligible project or projects,
- (2) The grantee is no longer interested in continuing a project or part of a project, or
- (3) The grantee no longer needs CoC funding as funding becomes available through other sources.

Grantees may request the reallocation of funds by submitting written notification to the MA-503 Collaborative Applicant and HUD Field Office CoC Representative. A grantee wishing to return funds through the voluntary process must do so in accordance with federal and state requirements and develop a transition plan with the Collaborative Applicant and HUD Field Office to minimize disruption to clients whose housing is supported by CoC grant funds.

Continuum-Initiated (Involuntary) Reallocation – Prior to the submission of the Consolidated Application, the Review and Ranking may make recommendations for reallocation to the Collaborative Applicant; however, the Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board maintains full authority to reduce or eliminate any project and reallocate funds to new projects, taking into consideration the following factors as referenced in 24 CFR § 578.107(b) through (d):

- a. Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory
- b. History of inadequate financial management
- c. History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance
- d. History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes
- e. HMIS non-compliance
- f. Non-alignment with C&I CoC funding priorities and federal and state strategic goals
- g. Poor participation in Coordinated Entry System
- h. Low ranking in the Coordinated Application
- i. Evidence of underutilization/under expenditure of CoC grant award.ⁱ

The Policy Board, through the Collaborative Applicant, will notify project applicants in writing, outside of e-snaps, of decisions as to whether their project application(s) will be accepted and ranked as part of the CoC Application Priority Listing, rejected, or reduced within a specific timeframe as established by HUD and published in the Notice of Funding Availability. When a project application is rejected or reduced, the CoC must indicate the reasons for the rejection or reduction. Provisions at 24 CFR part 578 set forth an appeal process for eligible applicants who believe they were denied the right to participate in a reasonable manner.

As per 24 CFR § 578.35, grantees who have been subject to involuntary reallocation may appeal the decision by filing a written appeal to HUD within 45 days of the date of the

announcement of the award. HUD will notify the applicant of its decision on the appeal within 60 days of receipt of the written appeal.

ⁱ Reallocation due to underutilization of funds/underspending will be determined according to the following methodology:

- (1) Grantees that spend down 90% of their contract amount either (a) during the most recent contract year OR (b) on average over the last three contract years **WILL NOT** be subject to involuntary reallocation for the next grant cycle.
- (2) Grantees that spend less than 90% of the contract amount either (a) during the most recent contract year OR (b) on average over the last three contract years **WILL** be subject to reallocation of funding. The reallocation amount will be calculated as the most recent contract award amount **MINUS** the higher of:
 - a) Most recent spend down amount from the last complete contract year available, **OR**
 - b) Average of spend down amount over the last three contract years **TIMES 1.1** (represents 10% more than the amount spent down during either period).
- (3) Adjustment to Reallocation Amount – Grantees may request an adjustment to the calculated reallocation amount by submitting documentation of:
 - a) A significant change in spending activity, or
 - b) Circumstances with tenants or other factors that will change in the near future and that will have a significant effect on spending activity.

ATTACHMENT C – PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

SCORING CATEGORY - FY2026 RENEWAL PROJECTS	MAXIMUM POINTS 1+ APR's
INTRODUCTION: THRESHOLD CRITERIA	PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM POPULATION	25
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / POLICIES & PROCEDURES	8
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS	12
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS FROM	12
PART E: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - CASH INCOME AND BENEFITS	24
PART F: PROJECT QUALITY / DATA QUALITY	22
PART G: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM OPERATION	14
PART I: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION	3
**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS	120

SCORING CATEGORY - FY2024 NEW PROJECTS	MAXIMUM POINTS
INTRODUCTION: THRESHOLD CRITERIA	PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY	18
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	21
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION	3
**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS	42

*APR data used in scoring of Project Renewal Applications are drawn from the most recent APR submitted at the time of the CY2025 Grantee Monitoring Site Visit.

** Project rankings are based on the percentage of TOTAL PROJECT SCORE compared to the TOTAL MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS available to Renewal Projects and New Projects, respectively.

ATTACHMENT D – RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY

MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2026 RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING			
GRANTEE:		PROJECT NAME:	POSSIBLE POINTS
INTRODUCTION: PROJECT QUALITY/ THRESHOLD CRITERIA (PASS/FAIL)			
A. Applicant meets CoC Program eligibility requirements			PASS
B. Applicant demonstrates financial and management capacity			PASS
C. Required certifications submitted			PASS
D. Population served meets eligibility requirements			PASS
E. Application submitted by deadline			PASS
F. Project applicant affirms they will not engage in illegal racial discrimination, consistent with 2 CFR 200.300.a.			PASS
G. Project applicant affirms they will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control.			PASS
F. Project applicant affirms they will not engage in illegal racial discrimination, consistent with 2 CFR 200.300.a.			PASS
G. Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project participates in the Cape Cod and Islands CoC HMIS (or for DV projects, in a comparable DV homeless information database).			PASS
H. No outstanding HUD and/or OIG Audit Findings where Grantee response is overdue or unsatisfactory (with approval from CoC, Grantee may be in process of working to resolve Findings)			PASS
I. Project is consistent with the Regional Network on Homelessness Strategic Plan and the Consolidated Plan			PASS
INTRODUCTION			PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY/ PROGRAM POPULATION			
(1) Project Type			2
a. Permanent Supportive Housing			2
b. Transitional Housing - Permanent Housing/Rapid Re-Housing			2
c. Transitional Housing			1
d. SSO			1
(2) Bed and Unit Inventory: Number of beds in project			2
a. 20 or more			2
b. Fewer than 20			1
(3) Bed and unit Inventory: % of dedicated Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS beds			6
a. 100% or N/A			5
b. 75%			4
c. 50%			3
d. 25%			2
e. Less than 25% but more than 0%			1
f. None			0
(6) Does Grantee require participants to participate in support services?			6
a. Yes			5
b. No			0
(7) Does Grantee offer Domestic Violence services or referrals to Domestic Violence provider agencies to participants who report a history of Domestic Violence?			1
a. Yes			1
b. No			0
(8) Does Grantee actively recruit persons with Lived Experience of Homelessness to serve in policy-making capacity or to represent Grantee agency in community collaborations?			6
a. Yes (N/A if governmental entity)			6
b. No			0
(8) How many persons with Lived Experience serve on boards, committees, or working groups?			6
a. 5 or more (N/A if governmental entity)			6
b. 4			4
c. 3			3
d. 2			2
e. 1			1
f. 0			0
SUBTOTAL PART A			26
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY/ POLICIES & PROCEDURES			
(10) Does Grantee follow CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures for (check as many as apply):			3
a. Prioritizing the most vulnerable individuals and families as outlined in Written Standards			1

UPDATE 6-15-2026

MA-503 CoC

b. Accepting and responding to referrals	1	
c. Reporting unit vacancies	1	
(11) Does Grantee follow all data security and privacy standards as outlined in the MA-503 CoC HMIS Policies and Procedures manual, including submission of annual HMIS Security Self-Certification?	1	
a. Yes	1	
b. No	0	
(12) Does Grantee follow HUD-approved Financial Policies and Procedures for management of CoC funds?	1	
a. Yes	1	
b. No	0	
(13) Has Grantee provided documented 25% Match for current project?	1	
a. Yes	1	
b. No	0	
(14) Does Grantee have "Move On" policy to help participants apply for and obtain mainstream vouchers?	2	
a. Official written policy	2	
b. No written policy, but participant service plans include assistance in obtaining mainstream vouchers	1	
c. No Move On policy	0	
SUBTOTAL PART B		8
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY/ COST EFFECTIVENESS		
(15) Average length of time in PSH - Leavers (if no Leavers, maximum points)	3	
a. 180 days or greater	3	
b. 90 to 179 days	2	
c. Fewer than 90 days	1	
(16) Average length of time in PSH - Stayers	3	
a. 180 days or greater	3	
b. 90 to 179 days	2	
c. Fewer than 90 days	1	
(17) Utilization Rate - Average Daily Utilization During Operating Year/ Beds	4	
a. 90% or higher	4	
b. 80%-89%	3	
c. 70%- 79%	2	
d. 60%-69%	1	
e. Less than 60%	0	
(18) Cost per Permanent Housing Outcome (STAYERS and LEAVERS) is at or below regional average	2	
a. Yes	2	
b. No	0	
SUBTOTAL PART C		12
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY/ SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 2 RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS FROM PH		
(19) Percentage of LEAVERS who exited Permanent Housing to return to homelessness (unsheltered or Emergency Shelter)	6	
a. 0%or N/A	6	
b. Greater than 0%	0	
(20) Percentage of STAYERS in Permanent Housing and LEAVERS exiting to PH destination	6	
a. 100% (excluding exceptions)	6	
b. 90%-99%	4	
c. 80%-89%	2	
d. 70%-79%	1	
e. Less than 70%	0	
SUBTOTAL PART D		12
PART E: PROJECT QUALITY/ SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 4: CASH INCOME AND BENEFITS		
(21) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with CASH INCOME of any kind during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT YEAR	3	
a. 90% or more	3	
b. 75%-89%	2	
c. 60%- 74%	1	
d. Less than 60%	0	
(22) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED CASH INCOME OF ANY KIND during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT YEAR	3	
a. 20% or greater	3	
b. 10%to 19%	2	
c. 1%to9%	1	
d. No Households	0	
(23) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with EARNED INCOME during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT YEAR	3	
a. 90% or more	3	
b. 75%-89%	2	
c. 60%- 74%	1	

d. Less than 60%	0	
(24) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED EARNED INCOME during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT	3	
a. 20% or greater	3	
b. 10% to 19%	2	
c. 1% to 9%	1	
d. No Households	0	
(25) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with NON-EMPLOYMENT INCOME of any kind during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT Year	3	
a. 90% or more	3	
b. 75% - 89%	2	
c. 60% - 74%	1	
d. Less than 60%	0	
(26) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED NON-EMPLOYMENT INCOME during MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED CONTRACT Year	3	
a. 20% or greater	3	
b. 10% to 19%	2	
c. 1% to 9%	1	
d. No Households	0	
(27) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with NON-CASH BENEFITS of any kind	3	
a. 90% or more	3	
b. 75% - 89%	2	
c. 60% - 74%	1	
d. Less than 60%	0	
(28) Health Insurance: % of Total Participants enrolled in health insurance of any kind	3	
a. 90% or more	3	
b. 75% - 89%	2	
c. 60% - 74%	1	
d. Less than 60%	0	
SUBTOTAL PART E		24
PART F: PROJECT QUALITY/ DATA QUALITY		
(29) Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80% - 99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	
(30) Data Quality: Universal Data Elements	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80% - 99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	
(31) Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80% - 99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	
(32) Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80% - 99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	
(33) Data Quality: Entry Assessments - Coverage	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 90% - 99%	1	
c. Below 90%	0	
(34) Data Quality: Entry Assessments - Completeness	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 90% - 99%	1	
c. Below 90%	0	
(35) Data Quality: Annual Assessments Completed	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80% - 99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	
(36) Data Quality: Annual Assessments On Time	2	
a. 100%	2	
b. 80%-99%	1	
c. Below 80%	0	

(37) Data Quality: Exit Assessments Completed	2
a. 100% (or N/A)	2
b. 80% - 99%	1
c. Below 80%	0
(38) Data Quality: Exit Destinations Recorded	2
a. 100% (or N/A)	2
b. 80% - 99%	1
c. Below 80%	0
(40) Data Quality: Positive Exit Destinations	2
a. 100% (or N/A)	2
b. 50% - 99%	1
c. Below 50%	0
SUBTOTAL PART F	
	22
PART G: PROJECT QUALITY/ PROGRAM OPERATION	
(40) Timely expenditure of funds - total spend down of most recently completed contract	2
a. Greater than 90%	2
b. Between 80 and 89%	1
c. Less than 80%	0
(41) Timely expenditure of funds - average quarterly draw down of current contract	2
a. 25% or more	2
b. 15% to 24%	1
c. Less than 15%	0
(42) Grantee submitted Annual Performance Report (APR) within 90 days of Contract End Date OR within deadline of APR extension	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(43) Grantee has attended at least one CoC/ Regional Network technical training within the previous 12-month period, such as HMIS New User training, Point in Time Count, Tenant Preservation, Policy Board, DWCS, HUD TTA webinars,	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(44) Grantee participates in Coordinated Entry meetings and Case Coordination meetings as requested by CoC	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(45) Grantee follows CPD operational guidance (check as many as apply)	7
a. Grantee maintains homeless documentation in accordance with program requirements	1
b. Grantee has written intake procedures which require documentation of participant's length of time homeless and qualifying disability	1
c. Grantee prioritizes proof of homelessness as: (1) 3rd party documentation, (2) staff observation, (3) client self-certification	1
d. Grantee conducts an annual assessment of service needs of program participants and adjusts services accordingly	1
e. Grantee follows occupancy policies as outlined in CPD 6509.2, Chapter 29-3	1
f. Grantee maintains a drug-free workplace	1
g. Grantee has undergone Environmental Review within the past 5 years	1
SUBTOTAL PART G	
	14
PART I: PROJECT QUALITY/ QUALITY OF APPLICATION	
(46) Application components are accurate and complete - Errors refers to substantive errors in data entry; inaccurate,	3
a. Application has no errors	3
b. Application has between 1 and 3 errors	2
c. Application has between 4 and 6 errors	1
d. Application has more than 6 errors	0
SUBTOTAL PART I	
	3
TOTAL POINTS	
	129

ATTACHMENT E –NEW PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY

MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2026 NEW PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY			
GRANTEE:		PROJECT NAME:	POSSIBLE POINTS
Scoring Data Sources: Applicant Surveys, Applications, Letters of Intent, Attendance Rosters			
INTRODUCTION: PROJECT QUALITY/ THRESHOLD CRITERIA (PASS/FAIL)			
A. Applicant meets CoC Program eligibility requirements			PASS
B. Applicant demonstrates financial and management capacity			PASS
C. Required certifications submitted			PASS
D. Population served meets eligibility requirements			PASS
E Application submitted by deadline			PASS
F. Project applicant affirms they will not engage in illegal racial discrimination, consistent with 2 CFR 200.300.a			PASS
G. Project applicant affirms they will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control.			PASS
F. Project applicant affirms they will not engage in illegal racial discrimination, consistent with 2 CFR 200.300.a			PASS
G. Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project participates in the Cape Cod and Islands CoC HMS (or for DV projects, in a comparable DV homeless information database).			PASS
H. Existing grants demonstrate satisfactory capacity and performance.			PASS
I. Existing grants demonstrate timely drawdowns (at least quarterly)			PASS
J. Existing grants have timely APR submissions			PASS
K. No outstanding HUD and/or OIG Audit Findings where Grantee response is overdue or unsatisfactory (with approval from CoC, Grantee may be in process of working to resolve Findings)			PASS
L. EXPANSION PROJECTS ONLY - describe project being expanded; demonstrate project is not replacing other funding sources			PASS
M. Demonstrates ability to meet timeliness standards			PASS
N. Project is consistent with the Regional Network on Homelessness Strategic Plan and the Consolidated Plan			PASS
INTRODUCTION			PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY/ PROGRAM POPULATION			
(1) Organization's Experience - select as many as apply			6
a. Serving homeless populations - for DV projects, experience providing services to victims of domestic violence			1
b. Administering HUD grants (infrastructure)			1
c. Track record of successful fiscal management			1
d. Capacity to participate in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in database comparable to HMIS			1
e. Capacity to participate in Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality			1
f. Currently operating a program within the Cape Cod and Islands geographic area			1
(2) Participation in CoC / Regional Network on Homelessness - select as many as apply			2
a. Applicant has attended at least one CoC / Regional Network technical training within the previous 6-month period Rosters (such as Point in Time Count, DV/CES, HMIS Teams Meetings, HUD TTA webinars, CoC Start-Up webinar, etc.)			1
b. Applicant has attended at least one CES working group meeting within the previous 6 months			1

UPDATE 6-15-2026

MA-503 CoC

(4) Does Grantee actively recruit persons with Lived Experience of Homelessness to serve in policy-making capacity or to	5
a. Yes (N/A if governmental entity)	5
b. No	0
(5) How many persons with Lived Experience serve on boards, committees, or working groups?	5
a. 5 (N/A if governmental entity)	5
b. 4	4
c. 3	3
d. 2	2
e. 1	1
f. 0	0
SUBTOTAL PART A	18
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY/ POLICIES & PROCEDURES	
(6) Support Services Identified and Clearly Defined	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(7) Demonstrates understanding of client needs	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(8) Support services designed to meet client needs	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(9) Number and configuration of units will fit needs of program participants	1
a. Yes	1
b. No or N/A	0
(10) Project will assist clients in obtaining mainstream benefits	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(11) Support services will assist clients in obtaining and retaining permanent housing	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(12) Demonstrates additional supportive services to assist clients in remaining housed (transportation, safety planning,	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(13) Demonstrates established performance measures that are objective, measurable, and trackable	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(14) Demonstrates client engagement strategies	1
a. Yes	1
b. No	0
(15) Type of Project - select only one	2
a. Transitional Housing	2
c. Permanent Supportive Housing	1
d. DV Bonus- PH/RRH	2
e. DV Bonus- Joint TH/RRH	2
f. DV Bonus- SSO/CE	1
g. Expansion	1
h. Dedicated HMIS	1
h. SSO	1
h. SSO/CE	1
h. YHDP Replacement - Joint TH/RRH	1
h. YHDP Replacement - PH/RRH	1

(16) Geographic Diversity - select only one	2
a. Entire Geographic area of the CoC	2
b. Upper Cape	1
c. Lower Cape	1
d. Nantucket	1
e. Martha's Vineyard	1
(17) What percentage of beds will be dedicated Chronically Homeless or Dedicated PLUS?	5
a. 100%	5
b. 75%	4
c. 50%	3
d. More than 25% but less than 50%	2
e. Less than 25% but more than 0	1
f. None or N/A	0
(21) Required Participation - select as many as apply	2
a. 20% or greater	1
b. 10% to 19%	1
(22) Project will provide enough RRH assistance to ensure that at any time, program participants may move from TH to PH.	1
a. Yes or N/A	1
b. No	0
SUBTOTAL PART B	21
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY/ QUALITY OF APPLICATION	
(23) Application components are accurate and complete - Errors refers to substantive errors in data entry; inaccurate, incomplete, missing information; calculation errors; etc. Typos will not be counted as errors.	3
a. Application has no errors	3
b. Application has between 1 and 3 errors	2
c. Application has between 4 and 6 errors	1
d. Application has more than 6 errors	0
SUBTOTAL PART C	3
TOTAL POINTS	42

ATTACHMENT F – REVIEW AND RANKING COMMITTEE

Cape and Islands Continuum of Care Project Review and Ranking Committee Roles and Responsibilities

The Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board is responsible for establishing policy priorities for the CoC. The CoC Project Review and Ranking Committee is charged with evaluating and ranking CoC projects for inclusion in the annual application for funding submitted to HUD by the Collaborative Applicant.

On an annual basis, members of the CoC Project Review and Ranking Committee will:

-
1. Attest that they do not have a conflict of interest in reviewing and ranking any of the CoC's new or renewal projects
 2. Assess the review and ranking process for renewal and new CoC applications and, if necessary, make recommendations to improve to the process
 3. Evaluate renewal and new CoC projects and provide recommendations to CoC staff on the ranking of projects based upon HUD and local priorities
 4. Agree to commit approximately 6-10 hours on an annual basis to undertake the duties described above.

Adopted by Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homeless Policy Board - July 2016
Updated May 2018