

The Cape & Islands CoC is Accepting New and Renewal Project Applications For:

**Permanent Housing-Permanent Supportive Housing (PH- PSH)
Permanent Housing - Rapid Rehousing (PH- RRH)
Joint Transitional Housing (TH and PH- RRH)
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
Supportive Services Only- Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)
CoC Planning**

**With funding from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition
Notice of Funding Opportunity FR-6600-N-25 14.267**

**Information Session for New Projects: August 15, 2022, 2:00 PM
Information Session for Renewal Projects: August 15, 2022, 2:45 PM**

**Information sessions will be held utilizing the Microsoft Teams application.
RSVP to daniel.gray@barnstablecounty.org indicating which information session(s) you would like to attend. A calendar invitation will be sent in advance of the meetings with a link to access the sessions.**

Project Applications Must be Submitted in E-SNAPS by **August 31, 5:00 PM**

RFP CHANGES: Updated 8/11/2022

- (1) DV Bonus decreased from \$66,791 to \$63,719 (page 5)
- (2) Planning Grant increased from \$61,176 to \$66,791 (page 5)
- (3) Separate CoC Bonus of \$111,319 added (page 5)
- (4) Tier 2 remains at \$111,318, with reallocation at \$38,545 (page 5)
- (5) Question 46 in Renewal Project Scoring Methodology (page 18): Replace “Rate of project enrollments by persons of Black or African American descent” with “Rate of project enrollments by members of the BIPOC population” – demographic rate increased to 5% to include Native American, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander populations. Scoring value does not change.
(46) EQUITABLE ACCESS - Project reflects local population: Rate of project enrollments by members of the BIPOC population is greater than or equal to regional demographic rate (5%)
- (6) Question 48 in Renewal Project Scoring Methodology (page 18): Replace “by persons of Black or African American descent” with “by members of the BIPOC population”. Scoring value does not change.
(48) EQUITABLE OUTCOMES - Rate of retention of/exits to Permanent Housing (12 months or more) by members of the BIPOC population (compared to total enrollments by members of the BIPOC population)

MA 503 - Cape and Islands CoC Request for Applications

The Continuum of Care (CoC) Program (24 CFR part 578) is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness. The FY2022 CoC competition is open to eligible renewal and new projects which are ranked competitively in accordance with HUD priorities and locally identified needs. The highest ranked projects are included in the CoC application submitted to HUD. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to thoroughly review the NOFO on the HUD Exchange at: <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/view-opportunity.html?oppld=342855>**

The Cape & Islands CoC will submit a consolidated application to HUD for FY2022 competition funds by September 30, 2022, 8 PM EDT. The application may include the following types of project applications:

1. New projects created using funds available through reallocation and/or bonus funds:
 - a. Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing projects (PH-PSH) that meet requirements of Dedicated PLUS or where 100 percent of the beds are dedicated for chronically homeless individuals and families
 - b. Permanent Housing- Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH) projects that serve individuals and families, including unaccompanied youth who meet the criteria outlined in the NOFO
 - c. Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects
 - d. Dedicated HMIS
 - e. Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) to develop or operate a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
2. New projects for Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus may be PH-RRH, Joint TH-RRH, or SSO-CE projects.
3. Expansion of renewal projects in which a renewal project submits a new application to expand its current operations.
4. Consolidated projects in which eligible renewal applicants consolidate two or more (but no more than four) eligible renewal projects.
5. Transition projects in which a renewal project transitions from one CoC program component to another. Requires approval from CoC.
6. Renewal projects.

COMPETITION TIMELINE & DEADLINES *Required by HUD

August 12, 2022: 9:30 AM Information Session for New Projects. This session will be held through Microsoft Teams.

August 15, 2022: 9:30 AM Information Session for Renewal Projects. This session will be held through Microsoft Teams.

August 19, 2022: New project applicants must submit Letter of Intent (**Attachment A**) to martha.taylor@barnstablecounty.org

August 22, 2022, 5:00 PM: New project applicants notified of eligibility to submit project in e-snaps.

August 31, 2022, 5:00 PM*: Submission of new and renewal project applications in e-snaps. Incomplete or late submissions will not be reviewed or ranked for inclusion in the FY2022 Consolidated Application.

September 1 through September 12, 2022: Review and Ranking Committee reviews and ranks proposals.

By September 15, 2022*: CoC Collaborative Applicant will notify all project applicants in writing no later than 15 days before the application deadline of September 30, 2022, regarding whether their project application will be included in the CoC Priority Listing submission and the amount to be allocated for each project. Project selections, rankings and tier allocation will be provided in writing and published at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>. Applicants not selected may appeal and/or apply directly to HUD.

By September 28, 2022*: 8:00 PM EDT Consolidated Application posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>.

By September 30, 2022*: 8:00 PM EDT Submission of CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

FY2022 FUNDS AVAILABLE: The estimated amounts below represent the maximum renewal funding available to the CoC prior to any adjustments made by HUD during the CoC Program Competition. Funding includes an estimated¹ Annual Renewal Demand (ARD), Permanent Housing Bonus, Domestic Violence Bonus, Reallocation, and Planning Grant.

ARD	\$ 2,226,370
Tier 1: 95% of ARD	\$ 2,115,052
Tier 2: 5% of ARD	\$ 111,318
<i>Reallocation portion of Tier 2</i>	\$38,545
CoC Bonus	\$ 111,319
Domestic Violence Bonus	\$ 63,719
CoC Planning	\$ 66,791

Projects submitted to HUD in Tier 1 are expected to be funded if the project meets HUD eligibility and threshold requirements. Tier 2 projects will be awarded funds by HUD based on a comparative score computed using the CoC 's FY2022 application competitive score and project ranking. Available funding may be updated based upon guidance received from HUD following the release of this RFP. Funding revisions made following the release of this document will be posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>

REALLOCATION

Reallocation is the process of shifting funds in whole or part from renewal projects to create one or more new projects. The reallocation policy adopted by the Policy Board of the Regional Network is found in **Attachment B**.

SCORING, RANKING AND SELECTION

HUD expects CoCs to implement a thorough review and oversight process at the local level for new and renewal project applications submitted in the FY2022 CoC Program Competition. All complete, timely, and eligible new and renewal applications will be scored by the **CoC Review and Ranking Committee** using the FY2022 Renewal Project Scoring Methodology (Attachments C and D) and FY2022 New Project / Non-Operational Renewal Project Scoring Methodology (Attachments E and F). Due to the competitiveness of the CoC Grant, submission of projects in e-snaps does not guarantee that a project will be accepted and ranked. Applicants who believe they were denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC process or who were rejected or reallocated may appeal directly to HUD by submitting a Solo Application.

¹ The estimated ARD was published by HUD on August 11, 2022.

Scoring and Ranking. For new projects the review and scoring process evaluates the applicant's capacity, cost effectiveness of the proposed project, quality of the application, and if the project addresses identified needs. Renewal projects are evaluated on past performance, cost effectiveness, and performance measures. Planning projects are not included in ranking. All CoC funded projects must align with HUD's policy priorities. These policy priorities include:

- Ending homelessness for all persons
- Use a Housing First approach
- Reducing Unsheltered Homelessness
- Improving System Performance
- Partnering with Housing, Health, and Service Agencies
- Racial Equity
- Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals
- Persons with Lived Experience
- Increasing Affordable Housing Supply

The review and ranking process also considers:

- Adherence to submission deadlines (failure to meet submission deadlines will result in project not being accepted); and
- Timely and thorough responses to requests for clarification and/or additional information from the CoC Review and Ranking Committee.

Finally, before the CoC Review and Ranking Committee completes the scoring and priority ranking process, they may consider additional criteria such as whether the initial scoring is likely to result in critical service gaps and/or potential displacement of vulnerable households. The Committee may also adjust project budgets to keep them within the Tier 1 and Tier 2 limits set by HUD.

The CoC Review and Ranking Committee will publish the final ranking of projects to be included in the CoC application per the timeline set forth by HUD. The Committee's rationale for prioritizing projects is posted on-line and available to the public. The Review and Ranking Committee Roles and Responsibilities can be found in [Attachment G](#).

The FY2022 CoC Program Competition NOFO has new information that is important for CoCs to consider as they implement their local competition process. The "What's New, Changes, and Highlights" document can be found in [Attachment H](#).

New and Renewal Scoring Methodology tools may be updated based upon guidance received from HUD following the release of this RFP. Scoring tools revised following the release of this document will be posted at <https://www.capecod.gov/departments/human-services/initiatives/housing-homelessness/continuum-of-care/>.

Up until August 31, 2022, CoC staff will be available to answer general questions about the local RFP process. Questions from interested parties and new and renewal applicants should be directed to Lee Hamilton in writing at lhilton@capecod.net.

Requests for clarification of the NOFA, program specific questions, or requests for assistance completing the application should be directed to HUD. A full list of HUD resources is listed on page 101 of the NOFO.

Disclaimer: Guidance and information contained in FR-6600-N-25 14.267 supersedes this notice. All applicants are responsible for reading the HUD NOFO prior to applying for funding and for reviewing HUD Notices regarding the NOFO on the HUD Exchange at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

ATTACHMENT A - NEW PROJECT LETTER OF INTENT

MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2022 NEW PROJECT - LETTER OF INTENT				
Name of Applicant (Agency)		Date:		
Agency Address				
	<i>Street</i>	<i>City/Town</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Zip</i>
Name of Contact Person				
	<i>Name</i>	<i>Email address</i>	<i>Phone number</i>	
VERIFY THAT THE CEO/ED OF YOUR AGENCY HAS AUTHORIZED THIS SUBMISSION BY CHECKING THE BOX <input type="checkbox"/> PLEASE CHECK BOX TO INDICATE AUTHORIZATION				
Proposed Project Name		Amount Requested:		
(1) Briefly describe your agency's experience in working with the homeless. DV projects describe your agency's experience in providing services to victims of domestic violence. Non-housing projects describe your agency's experience in homeless information systems and/or coordinated entry systems (1,500 character limit).				
(2) Population to be served by this project (select only one - non-housing projects select N/A)				
Individuals		Families		
Number of individuals to be served	[]	Number of families to be served	[]	
Will each person have his/her own unit?	yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/>	Total number of units	[]	
If NO, how many units will there be?	[]	Total number of beds	[]	
How many persons will be in each unit?	[]	N/A	[]	
(3) Project type (select only one)				
<input type="checkbox"/> New Project - PH/PSH	<input type="checkbox"/> DV Bonus - PH/RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> Expansion		
<input type="checkbox"/> New Project - PH/RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> DV Bonus - Joint TH/RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated HMIS		
<input type="checkbox"/> New Project - Joint TH/RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> DV Bonus - SSO/CE	<input type="checkbox"/> SSO/CE		
(4) Category of funding requested for project (may combine Bonus and Reallocated Funds - may not combine DV Bonus with other funds)				
<input type="checkbox"/> CoC Bonus Funds				
<input type="checkbox"/> Reallocated Funds				
<input type="checkbox"/> DV Bonus Funds				

UPDATE 8-11-2022

MA-503 CoC

(5) Proposed Project Budget*

ELIGIBLE COSTS

- 1a. Leased Units
- 1b. Leased Structures
- 2. Rental Assistance
- 3. Supportive Services
- 4. Operating
- 5. HMIS

6. Subtotal Costs Requested	\$0
7. Admin (up to 10%)	
8. Total Assistance plus Admin Requested	\$0
9. Cash Match	<i>NOTE: Grantees must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of cash or in-kind contributions from other sources.</i>
10. In-Kind Match	
11. Total Match	\$0
12. TOTAL BUDGET	\$0

(6) Proposed Project Location (must be located within the CoC's region - Barnstable, Dukes, or Nantucket County - select as many as apply)

- Barnstable County (indicate region)
 - Upper Cape
 - Mid Cape
 - Lower Cape
- Dukes County
- Nantucket County

(7) Will this Project follow the Housing First approach? (non-housing projects select N/A)

Housing First is a model of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold). Transitional housing and supportive service only projects are considered using a Housing First model for the purposes of this NOFA if they operate with low-barriers, work to quickly move people into permanent housing, do not require participation in supportive services, and, for transitional housing projects, do not require any preconditions for moving into the transitional housing (e.g., sobriety or minimum income threshold).

- Yes, project will follow the Housing First approach
- No, project will not follow the Housing First approach
- N/A

(8) Please confirm below that you understand all CoC-funded projects must participate in the following as required by HUD (non-housing projects select N/A)

- Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV Projects, participation in a confidential database comparable to HMIS
- Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV Projects, participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality
- N/A

NOTE: Check boxes as appropriate. Enter responses into cells highlighted in grey, which can be navigated by using the tab key. Totals for lines 6, 8, 11, and 12 of the Proposed Project Budget will be automatically calculated by embedded formulas and will auto-populate the appropriate cells.

* Budget calculations should be based on FY2022 HUD Fair Market Rents (FMRs) - See Tab 2

ATTACHMENT B – CoC MA 503 REALLOCATION POLICY

Reallocation Policy – March 26, 2018

BACKGROUND

To ensure the strategic allocation of resources and continued progress toward the goal of ending homelessness, in 24 CFR § 578.105(b)(2) and § 578.107(b)(1)(iv), the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) authorizes Continuums of Care (CoCs) to reallocate funds from underperforming, underutilized, redundant, non-cost effective, or obsolete programs to create new projects which:

- meet the eligibility and quality thresholds established by HUD under 24 CFR § 578. 39 through § 578.63
- meet the requirements as set forth in the annual Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
- serve new participants, focusing on the most vulnerable chronically homeless
- increase local housing stock, and
- ensure that all resources are being utilized toward achieving the goal of ending homelessness

Under 24 CFR § 578.7(a)(6), CoCs are mandated to consult with grantees and sub-grantees to establish performance targets appropriate for their specific populations and program types, monitor grantee and sub-grantee performance, evaluate outcomes, and take corrective action where performance does not meet expectation.

POLICY STATEMENT

Reallocation is intended to optimize CoC system performance to achieve the following objectives:

- (1) Meet housing needs for persons as identified in the Coordinated Entry System (CES)
- (2) Provide high-quality, effective programming
- (3) Align funded programming with HEARTH Act priorities as defined in § 427 and HUD priorities as defined in 24 § CFR 576.2

TYPES OF REALLOCATION

Reallocation may be self-initiated by a funded agency (voluntary) or initiated by the Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board (Continuum-initiated). Decisions related to Continuum-initiated reallocations will be made in accordance with 24 CFR 578.107(a) and (c), which hold each CoC responsible for the performance, fiduciary accountability, and strategic value of each CoC program project included in its annual Collaborative Application.

Project funding may be reallocated in the following ways:

- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into a new project by the same provider
- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into a new project by a different provider
- Funding (in whole or part) from one project into more than one new project
- Funding (in whole or part) from multiple projects into one new project
- Funding (in whole or part) from multiple projects into more than one new project

Self-Initiated (Voluntary) Reallocation - A grantee may voluntarily request reallocation of project funding if:

- (1) The grantee wishes to move funds to a new eligible project or projects,
- (2) The grantee is no longer interested in continuing a project or part of a project, or
- (3) The grantee no longer needs CoC funding as funding becomes available through other sources.

Grantees may request the reallocation of funds by submitting written notification to the MA-503 Collaborative Applicant and HUD Field Office CoC Representative. A grantee wishing to return funds through the voluntary process must do so in accordance with federal and state requirements and develop a transition plan with the Collaborative Applicant and HUD Field Office to minimize disruption to clients whose housing is supported by CoC grant funds.

Continuum-Initiated (Involuntary) Reallocation – Prior to the submission of the Consolidated Application, the Review and Ranking may make recommendations for reallocation to the Collaborative Applicant; however, the Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board maintains full authority to reduce or eliminate any project and reallocate funds to new projects, taking into consideration the following factors as referenced in 24 CFR § 578.107(b) through (d):

- a. Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory
- b. History of inadequate financial management
- c. History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance
- d. History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes
- e. HMIS non-compliance
- f. Non-alignment with C&I CoC funding priorities and federal and state strategic goals
- g. Poor participation in Coordinated Entry System
- h. Low ranking in the Coordinated Application
- i. Evidence of underutilization/under expenditure of CoC grant award.ⁱ

The Policy Board, through the Collaborative Applicant, will notify project applicants in writing, outside of e-snaps, of decisions as to whether their project application(s) will be accepted and ranked as part of the CoC Application Priority Listing, rejected, or reduced within a specific timeframe as established by HUD and published in the Notice of Funding Availability. When a project application is rejected or reduced, the CoC must indicate the reasons for the rejection or reduction. Provisions at 24 CFR part 578 set forth an appeal process for eligible applicants who believe they were denied the right to participate in a reasonable manner.

As per 24 CFR § 578.35, grantees who have been subject to involuntary reallocation may appeal the decision by filing a written appeal to HUD within 45 days of the date of the

announcement of the award. HUD will notify the applicant of its decision on the appeal within 60 days of receipt of the written appeal.

ⁱ Reallocation due to underutilization of funds/underspending will be determined according to the following methodology:

- (1) Grantees that spend down 90% of their contract amount either (a) during the most recent contract year OR (b) on average over the last three contract years **WILL NOT** be subject to involuntary reallocation for the next grant cycle.
- (2) Grantees that spend less than 90% of the contract amount either (a) during the most recent contract year OR (b) on average over the last three contract years **WILL** be subject to reallocation of funding. The reallocation amount will be calculated as the most recent contract award amount **MINUS** the higher of:
 - a) Most recent spend down amount from the last complete contract year available, **OR**
 - b) Average of spend down amount over the last three contract years **TIMES 1.1** (represents 10% more than the amount spent down during either period).
- (3) Adjustment to Reallocation Amount – Grantees may request an adjustment to the calculated reallocation amount by submitting documentation of:
 - a) A significant change in spending activity, or
 - b) Circumstances with tenants or other factors that will change in the near future and that will have a significant effect on spending activity.

ATTACHMENT C – PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

**MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE
FY2022 NOFO PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY SUMMARY**

SCORING CATEGORY - FY2022 RENEWAL PROJECTS - 1 OR MORE APRS	MAXIMUM POINTS
INTRODUCTION: THRESHOLD CRITERIA	PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM POPULATION	29
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / POLICIES & PROCEDURES	11
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS	12
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 2: RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS FROM PH	6
PART E: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 4: CASH INCOME AND BENEFITS	24
PART F: PROJECT QUALITY / DATA QUALITY	22
PART G: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM OPERATION	14
PART H: PROJECT QUALITY / EQUITY OF ACCESS AND EQUITY OF OUTCOMES	10
PART I: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION	3
**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS	131

SCORING CATEGORY - FY2022 NEW PROJECTS/RENEWAL NON- OPERATIONAL PROJECTS	MAXIMUM POINTS	
	PSH / RRH	JOINT TH/ PH-RRH
INTRODUCTION: THRESHOLD CRITERIA	PASS	PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY	8	8
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	34	35
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION	3	3
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS	4	4
**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS	49	50

*APR data used in scoring of Project Renewal Applications are drawn from the most recent APR submitted at the time of the CY2022 Grantee Monitoring Site Visit.

** Project rankings are based on the percentage of TOTAL PROJECT SCORE

ATTACHMENT D – RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY (1 + APRs)

MA-503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2022 RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY - 1 or more APRs		
Scoring Data Sources: Applicant Surveys, Applications, APRs, Attendance Rosters, CES Database, HMIS Database, Site Visit Checklists	DATA SOURCE	POINTS
INTRODUCTION: PROJECT QUALITY / PROJECT ELIGIBILITY THRESHOLD CRITERIA (PASS/FAIL)		
[A] Project meets eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provides evidence of eligibility required in the application		PASS
[B] Applicant demonstrates financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in project application and the capacity to administer federal funds		PASS
[C] Applicant has submitted the required certifications specified in the NOFO		PASS
[D] Population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and Section II.B.11.f of the NOFO.		PASS
[E] All application materials were received by the deadline		PASS
[F] Project is consistent with the Regional Network on Homelessness Strategic Plan and the Consolidated Plan		PASS
[G] No outstanding HUD and/or OIG Audit Findings where Grantee response is overdue or unsatisfactory (with approval from CoC, Grantee may be in process of working to resolve Findings)		PASS
[H] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule [24 CFR part 578]: Project fills all vacancies from the Cape Cod and Islands CoC Coordinated Entry System (or for DV projects, from a comparable DV Coordinated Entry System).		PASS
[I] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule [24 CFR part 578]: Project participates in the Cape Cod and Islands CoC HMIS (or for DV projects, in a comparable DV homeless information database).		PASS
[J] Project continues to be viable		PASS
INTRODUCTION		PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM POPULATION		
(1) Project Type	APR Q01 - Project Renewal Application	2
a. Permanent Supportive Housing		2
b. Transitional Housing - Permanent Housing/Rapid Re-Housing		2
c. Transitional Housing		1
(2) Bed and Unit Inventory: Number of beds in project	HMIS Project Set Up-Project Renewal Application	2
a. 20 or more		2
b. Fewer than 20		1
(3) Bed and unit inventory: % of dedicated Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS beds	Project Renewal Application	5
a. 100%		5
b. 75%		4
c. 50%		3
d. 25%		2
e. Less than 25% but more than 0%		1
f. None		0
(4) Priority populations served by this project - check as many as apply	APR Q01 - Project Renewal Application	5
a. Veterans		1
b. Persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking		1
c. Families and Children		1
d. Unaccompanied Youth		1
e. Chronically homeless individuals and families		1
(5) Additional vulnerable populations served by this project	Project Renewal Application - Applicant Survey	9
a. History of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse		1
b. Criminal History		1
c. Low or no income		1
d. Current or past substance use		1
e. Resistance to receiving services		1
f. The only project of its kind in the CoC's geographic area serving a special homeless population/ subpopulation		1
g. Disabled population		1
h. LGBTQ+ population		1
i. BIPOC and other traditionally underserved populations		1
(6) Does Project follow Housing First approach?	Project Renewal Application - Site Visit Checklist	5
a. Yes		5
b. No		0
(7) Does Grantee offer Domestic Violence services or referrals to Domestic Violence provider agencies to participants who report a history of Domestic Violence?	APR Q14a - Site Visit Checklist	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
PART A MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		29
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / POLICIES & PROCEDURES		
(8) Does Grantee follow CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures for (check as many as apply):	Site Visit Checklist	3
a. Prioritizing the most vulnerable individuals and families as outlined in Written Standards		1
b. Responding to referrals		1
c. Reporting unit vacancies		1
(9) Are 100% of clients referred through Coordinated Entry System?	Site Visit Checklist	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(10) Does Grantee follow all data security and privacy standards as outlined in the MA-503 CoC HMIS Policies and Procedures manual?	Site Visit Checklist	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(11) Does Grantee follow data quality standards as outlined in the MA-503 CoC HMIS Policies and Procedures manual regarding (check as many as apply):	Site Visit Checklist	2
a. Data Quality - Timely, Accurate, Complete		1
b. Client Assessments - Entry, Exit, Annual, Updates		1

(12) Does Grantee follow HUD-approved Financial Policies and Procedures for management of CoC funds? a. Yes b. No	Site Visit Checklist	1 1 0
(13) Has Grantee provided documented 25% Match for current project? a. Yes b. No	Site Visit Checklist	1 1 0
(14) Does Grantee have "Move On" policy to help participants apply for and obtain mainstream housing vouchers? a. Official written policy b. No written policy, but participant service plans include assistance in obtaining mainstream vouchers c. No Move On policy	Site Visit Checklist	2 2 1 0
PART B MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		11
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS		
(15) Average length of time in PSH - Leavers a. 180 days or greater b. 90 to 179 days c. Fewer than 90 days	APR Q22b	3 3 2 1
(16) Average length of time in PSH - Stayers a. 180 days or greater b. 90 to 179 days c. Fewer than 90 days	APR Q22b	3 3 2 1
(17) Utilization Rate - Average Daily Utilization During Operating Year / Beds a. 90% or higher b. 80% - 89% c. 70% - 79% d. 60% - 69% e. Less than 60%	APR Q2	4 4 3 2 1 0
(18) Cost per Permanent Housing Outcome (STAYERS and LEAVERS) is at or below regional average a. Yes b. No	HMIS Data Analysis	2 2 0
PART C MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		12
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 2: RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS FROM PH		
(19) Percentage of LEAVERS who exited Permanent Housing to return to homelessness (unsheltered or Emergency Shelter) a. 0% or N/A b. Greater than 0%	HMIS Data Analysis, Site Visit Checklist	2 2 0
(20) Percentage of STAYERS in Permanent Housing and LEAVERS exiting to PH destination a. 100% (excluding exceptions) b. 90% - 99% c. 80% - 89% d. 70% - 79% e. Less than 70%	APR Q23c, HMIS Data Analysis	4 4 3 2 1 0
PART D MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		6
PART E: PROJECT QUALITY / SYSTEM PERFORMANCE - METRIC 4: CASH INCOME AND BENEFITS		
(21) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with CASH INCOME of any kind during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 90% or more b. 75% - 89% c. 60% - 74% d. Less than 60%	APR Q18	3 3 2 1 0
(22) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED CASH INCOME OF ANY KIND during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 20% or greater b. 10% to 19% c. 1% to 9% d. No Households	APR Q19e1	3 3 2 1 0
(23) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with EARNED INCOME during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 20% or greater b. 10% to 19% c. 1% to 9% d. No Households	APR Q18	3 3 2 1 0
(24) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED EARNED INCOME during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 20% or greater b. 10% to 19% c. 1% to 9% d. No Households	APR Q19e1	3 3 2 1 0
(25) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with NON-EMPLOYMENT INCOME of any kind during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 90% or more b. 75% - 89% c. 60% - 74% d. Less than 60%	APR Q18	3 3 2 1 0
(26) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with INCREASED NON-EMPLOYMENT INCOME during CURRENT CONTRACT YEAR a. 20% or greater b. 10% to 19% c. 1% to 9% d. No Households	APR Q19e1	3 3 2 1 0

(27) Mainstream Benefits: % Households with NON-CASH BENEFITS of any kind a. 90% or more b. 75% - 89% c. 60% - 74% d. Less than 60%	APR Q20b	3 3 2 1 0
(28) Health Insurance: % of Total Participants enrolled in health insurance of any kind a. 90% or more b. 75% - 89% c. 60% - 74% d. Less than 60%	APR Q21	3 3 2 1 0
PART E MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		24
PART F: PROJECT QUALITY / DATA QUALITY		
(29) Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information (PII) a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	APR Q06a	2 2 1 0
(30) Data Quality: Universal Data Elements a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	APR Q06b	2 2 1 0
(31) Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	APR Q06c	2 2 1 0
(32) Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	APR Q06d	2 2 1 0
(33) Data Quality: Entry Assessments - Coverage a. 100% b. 90% - 99% c. Below 90%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(34) Data Quality: Entry Assessments - Completeness a. 100% b. 90% - 99% c. Below 90%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(35) Data Quality: Annual Assessments Completed a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(36) Data Quality: Annual Assessments On Time a. 100% b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(37) Data Quality: Exit Assessments Completed a. 100% (or N/A) b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(38) Data Quality: Exit Destinations Recorded a. 100% (or N/A) b. 80% - 99% c. Below 80%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
(39) Data Quality: Positive Exit Destinations a. 100% (or N/A) b. 90% - 99% c. Below 90%	HMIS Data Quality Report	2 2 1 0
PART F MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		22
PART G: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM OPERATION		
(40) Timely expenditure of funds - total spend down of most recently completed contract a. Greater than 90% b. Between 80 and 89% c. Less than 80%	APR Grant Information	2 2 1 0
(41) Timely expenditure of funds - average quarterly draw down of current contract a. 25% or more b. 15% to 24% c. Less than 15%	HUD Quarterly Spend Down Report	2 2 1 0
(42) Grantee submitted Annual Performance Report (APR) within 90 days of Contract End Date OR within deadline of APR extension a. Yes b. No	APR Submission Notes, Site Visit Checklist	1 1 0

(43) Grantee has attended at least one CoC / Regional Network technical training within the previous 12-month period, such as HMIS New User training, Point in Time Count, Tenant Preservation, Policy Board, DV/CES, HUD TTA webinars, CHAMP Application, CoC Start-Up webinar, etc. a. Yes b. No	Attendance Rosters	1 1 0
(44) Grantee participates in Coordinated Entry meetings and Case Coordination meetings as requested by CoC a. Yes b. No	Attendance Rosters	1 1 0
(45) Grantee follows CPD operational guidance (check as many as apply) a. Grantee maintains homeless documentation in accordance with program requirements b. Grantee has written intake procedures which require documentation of participant's length of time homeless and qualifying disability c. Grantee prioritizes proof of homelessness as: (1) 3rd party documentation, (2) staff observation, (3) client self-certification d. Grantee conducts an annual assessment of service needs of program participants and adjusts services accordingly e. Grantee follows occupancy policies as outlined in CPD 6509.2, Chapter 29-3 f. Grantee maintains a drug-free workplace g. Grantee has undergone Environmental Review within the past 5 years	Site Visit Checklist	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PART G MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		14
PART H: PROJECT QUALITY / EQUITY OF ACCESS - EQUITY OF OUTCOMES (7/1/2021 through 6/30/2022)		
(46) EQUITABLE ACCESS - Project reflects local population: Rate of project enrollments by members of the BIPOC population is greater than or equal to regional demographic rate (5%) a. Yes b. No	HMIS Data Analysis	2 2 0
(47) EQUITABLE ACCESS - Project reflects local population: Rate of project enrollments by persons of Hispanic/Latino descent is greater than or equal to regional demographic rate (4%) a. Yes b. No	HMIS Data Analysis	2 2 0
(48) EQUITABLE OUTCOMES - Rate of retention of/exits to Permanent Housing (12 months or more) by members of the BIPOC population (compared to total enrollments by members of the BIPOC population) a. 100% b. 50%-99% c. 1%-50% d. 0% or N/A	HMIS Data Analysis	3 3 2 1 0
(49) EQUITABLE OUTCOMES - Rate of retention of/exits to Permanent Housing (12 months or more) by persons of Hispanic/Latino descent (compared to total enrollments by persons of Hispanic/Latino descent) a. 100% b. 50%-99% c. Less than 50% d. 0% or N/A	HMIS Data Analysis	3 3 2 1 0
PART H MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		10
PART I: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION		
(50) Application components are accurate and complete - Errors refers to substantive errors in data entry; inaccurate, incomplete, or missing information; calculation errors; etc. Typos will not be counted as errors. a. Application has no errors b. Application has between 1 and 3 errors c. Application has between 4 and 6 errors d. Application has more than 6 errors	Project Renewal Application	3 3 2 1 0
PART I MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		3
TOTAL MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		131

**ATTACHMENT E – NEW PROJECT / NON-OPERATIONAL RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING
METHODOLOGY – JOINT TH/PH-RRH**

MA 503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2022 NEW PROJECT/NON-OPERATIONAL RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY - JOINT TH/PH-RRH		
Scoring Data Sources: Applicant Surveys, Applications, Letters of Intent, Attendance Rosters	DATA SOURCE	POINTS
INTRODUCTION: PROJECT QUALITY / THRESHOLD CRITERIA (PASS/FAIL)		
[A] Project meets eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provides evidence of eligibility required in the application		PASS
[B] Applicant demonstrates financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in project application and the capacity to administer federal funds		PASS
[C] Applicant has submitted the required certifications specified in the NOFO		PASS
[D] Population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and Section II.B.11.f of the NOFO.		PASS
[E] All application materials were received by the deadline		PASS
[F] Project is consistent with the Regional Network on Homelessness Strategic Plan and the Consolidated Plan		PASS
[G] No outstanding HUD and/or OIG Audit Findings where Grantee response is overdue or unsatisfactory (with approval from CoC, Grantee may be in process of working to resolve Findings)		PASS
[H] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project fills all vacancies from the Cape Cod and Islands CoC Coordinated Entry System (or for DV projects, from a comparable DV Coordinated Entry System).		PASS
[I] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project participates in the Cape Cod and Islands CoC HMIS (or for DV projects, in a comparable DV homeless information database).		PASS
[J] Project will be viable		PASS
INTRODUCTION MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY		
(1) Organization's Experience - select as many as apply a. Serving homeless populations - for DV projects, experience providing services to victims of domestic violence b. Administering HUD grants (infrastructure) c. Track record of successful fiscal management d. Capacity to participate in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in database comparable to HMIS e. Capacity to participate in Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality	Applicant Survey - Letter of Intent - Project Application	5 1 1 1 1
(2) Participation in CoC / Regional Network on Homelessness - select as many as apply a. Applicant has attended at least one CoC / Regional Network technical training within the previous 6-month period (such as Point in Time Count, DV/CES, HMIS Teams Meetings, HUD TTA webinars, CoC Start-Up webinar, etc.) b. Applicant has attended at least one CES working group meeting within the previous 6 months	Attendance Rosters	2 1 1
(3) Anticipated Start Date - Applicant guarantees that project will start by 12/31/2022 a. Yes b. No	Applicant Survey - Letter of Intent	1 1 0
PART A MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		8
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION		
(4) Support Services Identified and Clearly Defined a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(5) Demonstrates understanding of client needs a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(6) Support services designed to meet client needs a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(7) Number and configuration of units will fit needs of program participants a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(8) Project will assist clients in obtaining mainstream benefits a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(9) Support services will assist clients in obtaining and retaining permanent housing a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(10) Demonstrates additional supportive services to assist clients in remaining housed (transportation, safety planning, etc.) a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(11) Demonstrates established performance measures that are objective, measurable, and trackable a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(12) Demonstrates client engagement strategies a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0

(13) Type of Project - select only one	Letter of Intent - Project Application	2 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Permanent Supported Housing b. Transitional Housing - Permanent Housing/Rapid Re-Housing c. Transitional Housing d. DV Bonus - PH/RRH e. DV Bonus - Joint TH/RRH f. DV Bonus - SSO/CE g. Expansion h. Dedicated HMIS i. SSO/CE 		
(14) Geographic Diversity - select only one	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 1 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Upper Cape b. Lower Cape c. Nantucket d. Martha's Vineyard 		
(15) What percentage of beds will be dedicated Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS?	Letter of Intent -	5 5 4 3 2 1 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. 100% b. 75% c. 50% d. More than 25% but less than 50% e. Less than 25% but more than 0% f. None or N/A 		
(16) Priority populations that will be served by this project - select as many as apply	Letter of Intent - Project Application - Applicant Survey	5 1 1 1 1 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Veterans b. Persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking c. Families and Children d. Unaccompanied Youth e. Chronically homeless individuals and families 		
(17) Additional vulnerable populations that will be served by this project - select as many as apply	Letter of Intent - Project Application - Applicant Survey	9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. History of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse b. Criminal History c. Low or no income d. Current or past substance use e. Resistance to receiving services f. The only project of its kind in the CoC's geographic area serving a special homeless population/ subpopulation g. Disabled population h. LGBTQ+ population i. BIPOC and other traditionally underserved populations 		
(18) Project will follow Housing First model	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No 		
(19) Required Participation - select as many as apply	Project Application	2 1 1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Agrees to participate in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV projects, agrees to participate in database comparable to HMIS b. Agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV projects, agrees to participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality 		
(20) Project will provide enough RRH assistance to ensure that at any time, program participants may move from TH to PH.	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No 		
PART B MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		35
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION		
(21) Application components are accurate and complete - Errors refers to substantive errors in data entry; inaccurate, incomplete, or missing information; calculation errors; etc. Typos will not be counted as errors.	Project Application	3 3 2 1 0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Application has no errors b. Application has between 1 and 3 errors c. Application has between 4 and 6 errors d. Application has more than 6 errors 		
PART C MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		3
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS (NEW PROJECTS)		
(22) If there are two or more NEW projects applying for the same funding source and project scores are tied, the FY2020 NEW PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS SCORING METHODOLOGY will be applied: total funding requested / total number of project beds / 12 months = monthly cost per bed. Projects will be awarded points according to a comparison of costs per bed. The lowest cost per bed will receive the highest score, the second lowest cost per bed will receive the second highest score, etc.		4 4 3 2 1
PART D MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		4
TOTAL MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		50

**ATTACHMENT F - NEW PROJECT / NON-OPERATIONAL RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING
METHODOLOGY – PSH & RRH**

MA 503 CAPE COD AND ISLANDS CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2022 NEW PROJECT/NON-OPERATIONAL RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING METHODOLOGY - PSH & RRH		
Scoring Data Sources: Applicant Surveys, Applications, Letters of Intent, Attendance Rosters	DATA SOURCE	POINTS
INTRODUCTION: PROJECT QUALITY / THRESHOLD CRITERIA (PASS/FAIL)		
[A] Project meets eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provides evidence of eligibility required in the application		PASS
[B] Applicant demonstrates financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in project application and the capacity to administer federal funds		PASS
[C] Applicant has submitted the required certifications specified in the NOFO		PASS
[D] Population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and Section II.B.11.f of the NOFO.		PASS
[E] All application materials were received by the deadline		PASS
[F] Project is consistent with the Regional Network on Homelessness Strategic Plan and the Consolidated Plan		PASS
[G] No outstanding HUD and/or OIG Audit Findings where Grantee response is overdue or unsatisfactory (with approval from CoC, Grantee may be in process of working to resolve Findings)		PASS
[H] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project fills all vacancies from the Cape Cod and Islands CoC Coordinated Entry System (or for DV projects, from a comparable DV Coordinated Entry System).		PASS
[I] Project complies with the requirements of the CoC Interim Rule (24 CFR part 578): Project participates in the Cape Cod and Islands CoC HMIS (or for DV projects, in a comparable DV homeless information database).		PASS
[J] Project will be viable		PASS
INTRODUCTION MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		PASS
PART A: PROJECT QUALITY / ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY		
(1) Organization's Experience - select as many as apply	Applicant Survey - Letter of Intent - Project Application	5
a. Serving homeless populations - for DV projects, experience providing services to victims of domestic violence		1
b. Administering HUD grants (infrastructure)		1
c. Track record of successful fiscal management		1
d. Capacity to participate in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in database comparable to HMIS		1
e. Capacity to participate in Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV projects, capacity to participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality		1
(2) Participation in CoC / Regional Network on Homelessness - select as many as apply	Attendance Rosters	2
a. Applicant has attended at least one CoC / Regional Network technical training within the previous 6-month period (such as Point in Time Count, DV/CES, HMIS Teams Meetings, HUD TTA webinars, CoC Start-Up webinar, etc.)		1
b. Applicant has attended at least one CES working group meeting within the previous 6 months		1
(3) Anticipated Start Date - Applicant guarantees that project will start by 12/31/2022	Applicant Survey - Letter of Intent	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
PART A MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		8
PART B: PROJECT QUALITY / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION		
(4) Support Services Identified and Clearly Defined	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(5) Demonstrates understanding of client needs	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(6) Support services designed to meet client needs	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(7) Number and configuration of units will fit needs of program participants	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(8) Project will assist clients in obtaining mainstream benefits	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(9) Support services will assist clients in obtaining and retaining permanent housing	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(10) Demonstrates additional supportive services to assist clients in remaining housed (transportation, safety planning, etc.)	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(11) Demonstrates established performance measures that are objective, measurable, and trackable	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0
(12) Demonstrates client engagement strategies	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1
a. Yes		1
b. No		0

(13) Type of Project - select only one a. Permanent Supported Housing b. Transitional Housing - Permanent Housing/Rapid Re-Housing c. Transitional Housing d. DV Bonus - PH/RRH e. DV Bonus - Joint TH/RRH f. DV Bonus - SSO/CE g. Expansion h. Dedicated HMIS i. SSO/CE	Letter of Intent - Project Application	2 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1
(14) Geographic Diversity - select only one a. Upper Cape b. Lower Cape c. Nantucket d. Martha's Vineyard	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 1 1
(15) What percentage of beds will be dedicated Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS? a. 100% b. 75% c. 50% d. More than 25% but less than 50% e. Less than 25% but more than 0% f. None or N/A	Letter of Intent -	5 5 4 3 2 1 0
(16) Priority populations that will be served by this project - select as many as apply a. Veterans b. Persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking c. Families and Children d. Unaccompanied Youth e. Chronically homeless individuals and families	Letter of Intent - Project Application - Applicant Survey	5 1 1 1 1 1
(17) Additional vulnerable populations that will be served by this project - select as many as apply a. History of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse b. Criminal History c. Low or no income d. Current or past substance use e. Resistance to receiving services f. The only project of its kind in the CoC's geographic area serving a special homeless population/ subpopulation g. Disabled population h. LGBTQ+ population i. BIPOC and other traditionally underserved populations	Letter of Intent - Project Application - Applicant Survey	9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(18) Project will follow Housing First model a. Yes b. No	Letter of Intent - Project Application	1 1 0
(19) Required Participation - select as many as apply a. Agrees to participate in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) - for DV projects, agrees to participate in database comparable to HMIS b. Agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry System (CES) - for DV projects, agrees to participate in CES following protocols that ensure client safety and confidentiality	Project Application	2 1 1
(20) Project will provide enough RRH assistance to ensure that at any time, program participants may move from TH to PH. a. Yes b. No		
PART B MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		34
PART C: PROJECT QUALITY / QUALITY OF APPLICATION		
(20) Application components are accurate and complete - Errors refers to substantive errors in data entry; inaccurate, incomplete, or missing information; calculation errors; etc. Typos will not be counted as errors. a. Application has no errors b. Application has between 1 and 3 errors c. Application has between 4 and 6 errors d. Application has more than 6 errors	Project Application	3 3 2 1 0
PART C MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		3
PART D: PROJECT QUALITY / COST EFFECTIVENESS (NEW PROJECTS)		
(21) If there are two or more NEW projects applying for the same funding source and project scores are tied, the FY2020 NEW PROJECT COST EFFECTIVENESS SCORING METHODOLOGY will be applied: total funding requested / total number of project beds / 12 months = monthly cost per bed. Projects will be awarded points according to a comparison of costs per bed. The lowest cost per bed will receive the highest score, the second lowest cost per bed will receive the second highest score, etc.		4 4 3 2 1
PART D MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		4
TOTAL MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS		49

UPDATE 8-11-2022

MA-503 CoC

ATTACHMENT G – REVIEW AND RANKING COMMITTEE

Cape and Islands Continuum of Care Project Review and Ranking Committee Roles and Responsibilities

The Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homelessness Policy Board is responsible for establishing policy priorities for the CoC. The CoC Project Review and Ranking Committee is charged with evaluating and ranking CoC projects for inclusion in the annual application for funding submitted to HUD by the Collaborative Applicant.

On an annual basis, members of the CoC Project Review and Ranking Committee will:

1. Attest that they do not have a conflict of interest in reviewing and ranking any of the CoC's new or renewal projects
2. Assess the review and ranking process for renewal and new CoC applications and, if necessary, make recommendations to improve to the process
3. Evaluate renewal and new CoC projects and provide recommendations to CoC staff on the ranking of projects based upon HUD and local priorities
4. Agree to commit approximately 6-10 hours on an annual basis to undertake the duties described above.

Adopted by Cape and Islands Regional Network on Homeless Policy Board - July 2016
Updated May 2018

ATTACHMENT H – WHAT’S NEW, CHANGES, AND HIGHLIGHTS IN FY2022

J. Changes from Previous NOFO.

1. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116-260, approved December 27, 2020) added section 435 of the Act to allow Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHE) to be Collaborative Applicants, eligible entities, or subrecipients of the CoC Program in addition to amending section 401 of the Act to add the terms “Formula Area” and “Indian Tribe.” These amendments mean that not only may Tribes and TDHEs apply for grants through other CoCs, but that formula areas, as that term is defined in the Indian Housing Block Grant program at 24 CFR 1000.302, are eligible to be added to the geographic areas of existing CoCs or may be included in newly formed CoCs through the CoC registration process (see Notice CPD-22-02). HUD chose to implement integration of Tribes and TDHEs into the CoC program in stages. In the FY 2021 competition, Tribes and TDHEs were eligible to apply for projects through existing CoCs only. In the FY 2022 competition, HUD published PPRNs for all formula areas, including tribal formula areas and allowed CoCs to include tribal formula areas in their CoC geography during the FY 2022 CoC Program registration process. A Tribe or TDHE could also form a CoC including only tribal formula areas as part of their geography through the CoC registration process. Additionally, beginning in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Tribes and TDHEs can be designated as Collaborative Applicants. As in the FY 2021 Competition, any applicant that is not a Tribe or TDHE proposing to site a project on a reservation or trust land must include a tribal resolution from the tribe authorizing the applicant to do so or a letter from an official or principal of the Indian Tribe or TDHE who is authorized to act on behalf of the Indian Tribe or TDHE. Tribes do not need to include a tribal resolution to site a project on their own reservation or trust land.

2. HUD first introduced transition grants in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition. This process provides an opportunity for renewal projects to transition from one CoC Program component to another during the CoC Program Competition. New this year, CoCs will not be limited to only 50 percent of awarded funds to be used for the costs of eligible activities of the program component originally funded. Instead, recipients may use as much of the awarded grant funds on the costs of eligible activities of the program component originally funded; however, by the end of the operating year, the recipient must be fully operating the new program component. Recipients will be eligible for renewal in subsequent fiscal years for eligible activities of the new program component. See Section III.B.2.cc for more information on Transition Grants.

3. HUD revised its rating factors regarding CoC evaluation of racial disparities to place greater emphasis on racial equity and increased the number of points in Section VII.B.1.q of this NOFO on whether CoCs and homeless providers have identified barriers that lead to racial disparities, have taken steps to eliminate barriers to improve racial equity, and have implemented measures to evaluate the efficacy of the steps taken. Additionally, points were added to Section VII.B.2.e of this NOFO that will be awarded to CoCs that are promoting racial equity through the local CoC competition. These points will be awarded on the rating factors; review, selection, and ranking processes used; and if rating and ranking of projects is based on the degree to which projects have identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and are taking or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

4. HUD revised of the rating factors for addressing the needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ+) individuals to place greater emphasis on CoCs implementing and training their providers on the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies that ensure LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination. Further, points were added to Section VII.B.1.f of this NOFO that will be awarded to CoCs that are updating their CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies based on stakeholder feedback and assisting providers in developing their own agency anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies.

5. Throughout the past two years, as CoCs responded to the challenges of COVID-19, partnerships were developed between CoCs, homeless service providers, and state and local public health agencies. In the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition, HUD asked CoCs to demonstrate how these partnerships increased the safety of people experiencing homelessness from contracting COVID-19 and helped people increase vaccination rates amongst people experiencing homelessness. In the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition, HUD is asking CoCs to demonstrate how they will build on these partnerships to ensure they are prepared to prevent and respond to future infectious disease outbreaks amongst people experiencing homelessness. See Section VII.B.1.o for more information.

6. Ambiguous language in the Tier 2 Scoring process described in II.B.11.b.(2) was corrected. The language now states that the calculation for the CoC Project Ranking factor will be 40 times the quantity $(1-x)$ where x is the ratio of the cumulative funding requests for all projects or portions of projects ranked higher by the CoC in Tier 2 plus one half of the funding of the project of interest to the total amount of funding available in Tier 2, which matches the examples.

7. As described in Section II.B.11.e of this NOFO, for new projects the CoC would like to be considered as part of the DV Bonus, HUD revised the point value distribution for project applications based on the CoC Application score and responses to the revised domestic violence bonus specific questions in the project applications. For the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition, HUD added scoring factors based on the responses to questions that demonstrate CoCs' collaboration with victim service providers in the CoC Application, projects' plans to include survivors with lived experience in policy and program development and the inclusion of victim-centered practices in operating their projects.

8. HUD added an additional rating factor at Section VII.B.1.t. that awards points to CoCs that take steps to engage local leaders about increasing affordable housing supply.